Necessary Conditions of Externality of Initial Moment for One Class Variation Problem with Delay Argument

L. Alkhazishvili, T. Tadumadze Control Theory Chair, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

Abstract.

Necessary conditions of extremality are obtained in the form of Euler's equation, the condition of Wierstrass-Erdmann and transversality condition. The condition in the initial moment unike the early known condition, contains a new member.

Let J = [a,b] be a finite interval and $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set; the function $f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3,)$ is defined on $J \times O \times O \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and satisfies the following conditions: for almost all $t \in J$, the function f is continuously differentiable with respect to (x_1, x_2, x_3) for each fixed $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in O^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$, the functions $f, f_{x_i}, i = 1,2,3$ are measurable on J; for arbitrary compacts $K \subset O, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists the summable function $m_{K,V}(t), t \in J$, such that

$$\left|f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)\right| + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left|f_{x_i}(\cdot)\right| \le m_{K,V}(t), \ \forall (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in J \times K^2 \times V.$$

Further, let Φ be a set of absolutely continuous functions $x(t) \in O, t \in J$, satisfying the condition $|\mathcal{K}(t)| \leq const$. $\mathcal{K}(t) > 0, t \in J$ is absolutely continuous function satisfying the conditions $\tau(t) \leq t$, $\mathcal{K}(t) > 0$; $\varphi(t) \in O, t \in [\tau(a), b]$ is piecewise continuous function with a finite number of discontinuity points, satisfying the conditon $cl\{\varphi(t):t \in [\tau(a), b]\} \subset O; a_0, a_1 \subset O$ are fixed points.

Let us consider the variational problem

$$I(z) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, x(t), x_{t_0}(\tau(t)), \mathcal{K}(t)) dt \to \min, \ z = (t_0, t_1, x(\cdot)) \in A = J^2 \times O,$$

$$x(t_0) = a_0, \ x(t_1) = a_1,$$
(1)

where,

$$x_{t_0}(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t), t \in [\tau(a), t_0], \\ x(t), t \in [t_0, b]. \end{cases}$$

<u>DEFINITION1</u>. The element $z \in A$ is said to be admissible, if the condition holds. The set of admissible elements will be denoted by A_0 .

<u>DEFINITION2</u>. The element $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{x}(\cdot)) \in A_0$ is said to be locally extremal, if there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that for an arbitrary element $z \in A_0$ satisfying

$$\left|\widetilde{t}_{0}-t_{0}\right|+\left|\widetilde{t}_{1}-t_{1}\right|+\max_{t\in J}\left|\widetilde{x}(t)-x(t)\right|\leq\delta$$

the inequality $I(x) \le I(z)$ holds. Variational problem consists in finding locally extremal element.

<u>THEOREM1</u>. Let $\tilde{z} \in A_0$ be a locally extremal element,

$$\widetilde{t}_0 \in (a, b), \ \widetilde{t}_1 \in (a, b], \ y_0 = y(\widetilde{t}) \in [\widetilde{t}_0, \widetilde{t}_1]$$

And there exists the finite limits: $\Re(\widetilde{t}_0^-)$, $\Re(\widetilde{t}_1^-)$, $\Re(\widetilde{t}_0^-)$, $f_{x_3}[\widetilde{t}_1^-]$, $f_{x_3}[\widetilde{t}_0^+]$; $\lim_{\omega \to \omega_0^-} \widetilde{f}(\omega) = f_0^-, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^-_{\widetilde{t}_0} \times O^2 \quad i = 1,2; \quad \omega_0^- = (\widetilde{t}_0, a_0, \varphi(\tau(\widetilde{t}_0^-))), \quad \mathbb{R}^-_{\widetilde{t}_0} =] - \infty, \widetilde{t}_0];$ $\lim_{\omega \to \omega_0^-} \widetilde{f}(\omega) = f_2^-, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^-_{\widetilde{t}_1^-} \times O^2, \quad \omega_3^- = (\widetilde{t}_1, \widetilde{x}(t_1), \widetilde{x}(\tau(t_1))).$ Then the following conditions are fulfilled: 1) for almost all $t \in [\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_1]$

$$\widetilde{f}_{x_3}[t] = \widetilde{f}_{x_3}[\widetilde{t_0}^+] + \int_{\widetilde{t_0}}^t (\widetilde{f}_{x_1}[s] + \chi(\gamma(s))\widetilde{f}_{x_2}[\gamma(s)] \notin s)) ds,$$

where $\chi(t)$ is characteristic function of interval $[\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_1]$, y(t) is the function inverse to $\tau(t)$, $\tilde{f}[t] = \tilde{f}(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{x}_{\tilde{t}_0}(\tau(t)), \mathcal{R}(t))$

2) if at poin $t \in (\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_1)$ the function $\tilde{f}_{x_3}[t]$ has the one-side limits, then $\tilde{f}_{x_3}[t^-] = \tilde{f}_{x_3}[t^+];$

3)

$$\begin{split} & \left\{ \widetilde{f}_{x_3} \left[\ \widetilde{t}_0^{\,+} \ \right] \overset{q}{\mathcal{K}} (t_0^{\,-}) \leq f_0^{\,-} + f_1^{\,-} \overset{q}{\mathcal{K}} \widetilde{t}_0^{\,-} \ \right\}, \\ & \left\{ \widetilde{f}_x^{\,-} \left[\ \widetilde{t}_1^{\,-} \ \right] \overset{q}{\mathcal{K}} (\widetilde{t}_1^{\,-}) \geq f_2^{\,-} \ . \end{split}$$

<u>THEOREM2</u>. Let $\tilde{z} \in A_0$ be a locally extremal element, $\tilde{t}_0 \in [a,b)$, $\tilde{t}_1 \in (a,b)$, $\gamma_0 \in [\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_1)$; and there exist the finite limits $\Re(\tilde{t}_0^+)$, $\Re(\tilde{t}_1^+)$, $\Re(\tilde{t}_0^+)$, $\tilde{f}_{x_3}[\tilde{t}_1^-]$, $\tilde{f}_{x_2}[\tilde{t}_0^+]$

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\omega \to \omega_0} \widetilde{f}(\omega) = f_0^+, \ \omega \in R_{\widetilde{t}_0}^+ \times O^2, \ i = 1,2; \ \omega_0^+ = \left(\widetilde{t}_0, a_0, \varphi(\tau(\widetilde{t}_0^-))\right); \\ &\lim_{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \to (\omega_1^0, \omega_2^+)} \left[\widetilde{f}(\omega_1^-) - \widetilde{f}(\omega_2^-)\right] = f_1^+, \ \omega_i \in R_{\gamma_0}^+ \times O^2, \ i = 1,2; \ \omega_2^+ = \left(\gamma_0, \widetilde{x}(\gamma_0^-), \widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{t}_0^+^-)\right); \\ &\lim_{\omega \to \omega_3^+} \widetilde{f}(\omega) = f_2^+, \ \omega \in R_{\widetilde{t}_1}^+ \times O^2, \ \omega_3^+ = \left(\widetilde{t}_1, \widetilde{x}(\widetilde{t}_1^-), \widetilde{x}(\tau(t_1^+^-))\right); \end{split}$$

Then the conditions 1), 2) are fulfilled and, moreover, 4)

 $\begin{cases} \widetilde{f}_{x_3} [\widetilde{t_0}^+] \mathscr{K} (\widetilde{t_0}^+) \ge f_0^+ + f_1^+ \mathscr{K} (\widetilde{t_0}^+), \\ \widetilde{f}_{x_3} [\widetilde{t_1}^-] \mathscr{K} (\widetilde{t_1}^+) \le f_2^+. \end{cases}$

<u>THEOREM3</u>. Let $\tilde{z} \in A_0$ be a locally extremal element, $\tilde{t}_0 \in (a, b)$, $\tilde{t}_1 \in (a, b)$, $\gamma_0 \in (\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_1)$ and the assumptions of theorems 1,2 are fulfilled. Let, besides: $f_0^+ + f_1^+ \notin \tilde{t}_0^+ = f_0^- + f_1^- \notin \tilde{t}_0^- = f_1, \quad f_2^+ = f_2^- = f_2,$

 $\Re(\tilde{t}_{0}^{-}) = \Re(\tilde{t}_{0}^{+}) = \Re_{0}, \qquad \Re(\tilde{t}_{1}^{-}) = \Re(\tilde{t}_{1}^{+}) = \Re_{1}.$ Then the conditions 1),2) are fulfilled, and 5)

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{f}_{x_3} [\tilde{t}_0^+] \tilde{X}_0^2 = f_1, \\ \tilde{f}_{x_3} [\tilde{t}_1^-] \tilde{X}_1^2 = f_2. \end{cases}$$

<u>REMARK.</u> Assume that the function $\mathcal{K}(t)$ is continuous at point \tilde{t}_0 , the function $\varphi(t)$ is continuous on $[\tau(a), b]$; the function $f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is continuous at points $(\tilde{t}_0, a_0, \varphi(\tau(\tilde{t}_0))), (\gamma_0, \tilde{x}(\gamma_0, a_0)), (\gamma_0, \tilde{x}(\gamma_0), \varphi(\tilde{t}_0)), (\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{x}(\tilde{t}_1), \tilde{x}(\tau(\tilde{t}_1)));$ $\mathcal{K}(t)$ is continuous at points \tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_1 . Then it is clear that in Theorem 3

 $\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{0} &= \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{t}_{0}), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{1}^{*} = \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\widetilde{t}_{1}) \\ f_{1} &= \widetilde{f}[\widetilde{t}_{0}] + \left(f(\gamma_{0}, \widetilde{x}(\gamma_{0}), a_{0}, \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\gamma_{0})) - f(\gamma_{0}, \widetilde{x}(\gamma_{0})\phi(\widetilde{t}_{0}), \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(\gamma_{0})) \psi(\widetilde{t}_{0}), \\ f_{2} &= \widetilde{f}[\widetilde{t}_{1}], \quad \widetilde{f}_{x_{3}}[\widetilde{t}_{0}^{+}] = \widetilde{f}_{x_{3}}[\widetilde{t}_{0}], \quad \widetilde{f}_{x_{3}}[\widetilde{t}_{1}^{-}] = \widetilde{f}_{x_{3}}[\widetilde{t}_{1}]. \end{aligned}$

These theorems have been proved in standard way [1], and are based on necessary conditions of optimality [2].

REFERENCES

- 1. L. S. Pontriagin, V. G. Boltyanski, R. V. Gamkrelidze, and E. F. Mishchenko. *The mathematical theory of Optimal Processes*. 1983, Moscow, Nauka, 268p.
- 2. T. Tadumadze. On new necessary condition of optimality of initial moment in control problems with delay. Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys. 17 (1999) p.p.157-159.